

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: GOMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS E.O. Jox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,941	02/22/2002	Ferdinand Hendriks	YOR920010731US1(15160) 4472		
7:	590 02/09/2006	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER 400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530			DOAN, DU	DOAN, DUYEN MY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• *			2143		
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/081,941	HENDRIKS ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Duyen M. Doan	2143			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS					
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling 					
the non-allowable claim(s).		•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:		1			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-55</u> .		1			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:					
		/ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As regard applicant argument "The prior art does not teach or suggest a method for annotating messages for communication within an interconnected network of computers, where the method includes inputting handwritten stroke information message objects into a message anywhere within the recording to thereby annotate the message where the messaging service distribute the annotated message in the recording to other users" Examiner disagrees, Sun discloses using the handwriting or ink data in the chat messaging system and also provide the benefit of why he would want to use ink data in the chat messaging system (see Sun pg.1, par 0007). Becker discloses a chat messaging method where user can input text or image into the recording text record. "The method for annotating messages" is in the preamble, therefore giving no patentable weight. "to thereby annotate the message" this "thereby" clause merely states the inherent result of the limitation in the claim adds nothing to the patentability or substance of the claim (see Texas Instruments Inc. v. International Trade Commission, 26 USPQ2d 1018 (Feb.Cir.1993); Griffin v. Bertina, 62 USPQ2d 1431 (Feb. Cir. 2002); Amazon.com Inc. v. Barnesandnoble.com Inc., 57 USPQ2d 1747 (Feb.Cir.2001)). The "thereby annotating the message" is the inherent results of "inputting handwritten stroke information message", therefore given no patentable weight to the limitation.

As regard applicant second argument "Nothing is taught or suggested in Sun regarding entering ink (stroke information) directly into an ongoing instant message or chat session (via the instant messaging or chat window) for annotating a message for immediate viewing by other users". This argument is invalid because the limitation that the applicant argues is not in the claim, "entering ink directly into an ongoing instant message, and immediately view by other users". Sun discloses the method of using handwritten data in the Chat messaging system (see Sun pg.1, par 0007).

WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER